

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,421	03/26/2004	Fusao Ishiguchi	04536.034001	2620	
OSHA LIANO	7590 08/16/201	0	EXAMINER HAILU, TESHOME		
TWO HOUST	ON CENTER				
909 FANNIN, HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2434		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/16/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/811,421	ISHIGUCHI, FUSAO					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TESHOME HAILU	2434					

	TESHOME HAILU	2434							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension provides the extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension provides are sufficiently set of the contract of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension provides the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The fee and office action; or (2) as set for thin (2) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, MOTICE OF APPEAL.									
In The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(b)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS	idili die dilie period sectoral il 57	51 TC 4 1.57 (u).							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the first page of page matter (see NOTE bale).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or</li> </ul>									
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:	Od Coo attached Nation of Nan Co		DTOL 204)						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because See Continuation Sheet.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:									
/Farid Homayounmehr/ Primary Examiner. AU: 2434									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that the art on record (Doiron in view of Nakano) fails to teach the claim limitation, "the rewritable flash memory is attached to the digital videw disc device in a detachable manner". As mentioned in the final office action, making the memory separable (detachable) or fixed (mounted) does not make any different as long as both produce the same kind of output (result). See MPEP 2144.04.

## C. Making Separable

In re Dulberg, 289 F.2d 522, 523, 129 USPQ 348, 349 (CCPA 1961) (The claimed structure, a lipstick holder with a removable cap, was fully met by the prior art except that in the prior art the cap is "press fitted" and therefore not manually removable. The court held that "if it were considered desirable for any reason to obtain access to the end of [the prior art's] holder to which the cap is applied, it would be obvious to make the cap removable for that purpose.").